

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/687,246	Applicant(s) NELSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Jehanne E Souaya	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Jehanne E Souaya.

(2) Lisa Haile.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jehanne Souaya
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested changing the word "approximately" in the claims to "about" as the specification provided support for the recitation of "about. The examiner indicated that claim 23 was dependent from claim 16, which had been canceled and that the dependency should be changed to claim 1. The examiner further indicated that claims 76-83, which had been withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non elected invention, should be canceled. Applicant's attorney agreed to all changes in an examiner's amendment."